OPEN ACCESS

International Journal of Molecular Sciences ISSN 1422-0067 www.mdpi.org/ijms

Article

$Li_x @C_{60}$: Calculations of the Encapsulation Energetics and Thermodynamics

Zdeněk Slanina^{1,*}, Filip Uhlík², Shyi-Long Lee³, Ludwik Adamowicz⁴ and Shigeru Nagase¹

¹ Department of Theoretical and Computational Molecular Science, Institute for Molecular Science, Myodaiji, Okazaki 444-8585, Aichi, Japan

² School of Science, Charles University, 128 43 Prague 2, Czech Republic

³ Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, National Chung-Cheng University, Chia-Yi 62117, Taiwan

⁴ Department of Chemistry, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721-0041, USA

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-mail: zdenek@ims.ac.jp

Received: 30 April 2008; in revised form: 8 August 2008 / Accepted: 15 August 2008 / Published: 17 September 2008

Abstract: Li@C₆₀ and Li@C₇₀ can be prepared and thus, their calculations at higher levels of theory are also of interest. In the report, the computations are carried out on Li@C₆₀, Li₂@C₆₀ and Li₃@C₆₀ with the B3LYP density-functional theory treatment in the standard 3-21G and 6-31G* basis sets. The computed energetics suggests that Li_x@C₆₀ species may be produced for a few small x values if the Li pressure is enhanced sufficiently. In order to check the suggestion, a deeper computational evaluation of the encapsulation thermodynamics is carried out.

Keywords: endohedral fullerenes, calculated energetics and thermodynamics, structure and bonding, metallofullerene stabilities, computational optimization of syntheses

1. Introduction

There has been a renewed interest [1-20] in systems containing alkali metals and fullerenes, in particular $\text{Li}@C_{60}$ and $\text{Li}@C_{70}$ produced by low energy ion implantation [11,13,14] in bulk amounts. The vibrational spectra were obtained [13,14] for $\text{Li}@C_{60}$ and $\text{Li}@C_{70}$. $\text{Li}_2@C_{60}$ was also evidenced in observations [11]. Similarly, for example, $Ca@C_{74}$, $Sr@C_{74}$, and $Ba@C_{74}$ can be prepared by hightemperature techniques [21-24]. This experimental progress makes calculations of the species even more interesting. In the report, the calculations are carried out on $Li@C_{60}$, $Li_2@C_{60}$, and $Li_3@C_{60}$, using the density-functional theory (DFT) treatments. Both potential energy and Gibbs free energy terms are evaluated.

2. Calculations

The geometry optimizations were carried out with Becke's three parameter functional [25] with the non-local Lee-Yang-Parr correlation functional [26] (B3LYP) in the standard 3-21G basis set (B3LYP/3-21G). The geometry optimizations were performed with the analytically constructed energy gradient as implemented in the Gaussian program package [27].

In the optimized B3LYP/3-21G geometries, the harmonic vibrational analysis was carried out with the analytical force-constant matrix. In the same optimized geometries, higher-level single-point energy calculations were also performed, using the standard 6-31G* basis set, i.e., the B3LYP/6-31G* level (or, more precisely, B3LYP/6-31G*//B3LYP/3-21G). As Li@C₆₀ and Li₃@C₆₀ are radicals, their computations were carried out using the unrestricted B3LYP treatment for open shell systems (UB3LYP). The ultrafine integration grid was used for the DFT numerical integrations throughout.

3. Results and discussion

The UB3LYP approach is preferred here over the restricted open-shell ones (ROB3LYP) as the latter frequently exhibits a slow SCF convergency or even divergency. Although the unrestricted Hartree-Fock (UHF) approach can be faster, it can also be influenced by the so called spin contamination [28] and indeed, this factor was an issue in our previous [15] UHF SCF calculations as the UHF/3-21G spin contamination turned out to be higher than recommended threshold [28] in the expectation value for the $\langle S^2 \rangle$ term where S stands for the total spin. As long as the deviations from the theoretical value are smaller than 10%, the unrestricted results are considered applicable [28]. This requirement is well satisfied for the Li@C₆₀ and Li₃@C₆₀ species. Fig. 1 shows the computed structures of Li@C₆₀, Li₂@C₆₀, and Li₃@C₆₀. In all the three cases the Li atoms in the optimized structures are shifted from the cage center towards its wall. In particular, in the Li@C₆₀ species the shortest computed Li-C distance is 2.26 Å, while in a central location (optimized as a stationary point) the shortest Li-C distance at the UB3LYP/3-21G level is 3.49 Å. As for the energetics of the centric and off-centric structure, the central location is placed by some 9.9 kcal/mol higher at the UB3LYP/3-21G level. However, the energy separation is further increased in the UB3LYP/6-31G*//UB3LYP/3-21G treatment, namely to 15.0 kcal/mol. The metal atom in the off-centric Li@C₆₀ species is localized above a C-C bond shared by pentagon and hexagon (though an alternative description as above hexagon would also be possible). However, the system does not exhibit any symmetry. Distortion of the cage can be seen from the rotational constants. The icosahedral C_{60} cage at the B3LYP/3-21G level has one uniform rotational constant of 0.0833 GHz. If in the UB3LYP/3-21G optimized Li@C₆₀ species the metal atom is removed, the remaining distorted C_{60} cage has the rotational constants 0.0832, 0.0830, and 0.0829 GHz. The distorted cage is higher in





Figure 1. B3LYP/3-21G optimized structures of $Li_x@C_{60}$ (the Li atoms are darkened).

In the Li₂@C₆₀ case (approximative description as location above hexagon), the shortest Li-C distance is even bit shorter, 2.14 Å. Interestingly enough, $Li_2@C_{60}$ exhibits center of symmetry. The Li-Li separation is computed as 3.29 Å, i.e., substantially longer than the observed value in the free (neutral) Li₂ molecule (2.67 Å, cf. refs. [29-31]) – obviously an effect of the positive charges on the encapsulated atoms. In the Li₃@C₆₀ species (with approximative description as localization above C-C bonds shared by pentagon and hexagon), the shortest computed Li-C contact is even further reduced to 2.05 Å. The Li-Li distances in the encapsulated Li₃ cluster are not equal – they are computed as 2.70, 2.76 and 2.84 Å. Incidentally, while the observed Li-Li distance for free Li₂ is [29-31] 2.67 Å, the B3LYP/3-21G computed value is 2.725 Å (it changes to 2.723 Å at the B3LYP/6-31G* level). Similarly, also the observed values for the free Li₃ cluster are available [32,33], actually for two triangular forms – opened (2.73, 2.73, 3.21 Å) and closed (3.05, 3.05, 2.58 Å). The UB3LYP/3-21G computed distances in the free Li₃ opened cluster are 2.78, 2.78, and 3.30 Å. Hence, there is a good theory-experiment agreement. The B3LYP/3-21G formal Mulliken charge (the largest value) found on the Li atoms is somewhat decreasing in the Li@C₆₀, Li₂@C₆₀, and Li₃@C₆₀ series with the values of 1.16, 1.10, and 0.86, respectively (the charges are somewhat reduced at the B3LYP/6-31G* level). Nevertheless, the total charge transferred to the cage is increasing in the series: 1.16, 2.21, and 2.46 Å.

The vibrational analysis enables to test if a true local energy minimum was found. All the computed frequencies for the structures in Fig. 1 are indeed real and none imaginary (though we could also locate some saddle points not discussed here). The lowest computed vibrational frequencies are mostly represented by motions of the Li atoms. Obviously, owing to symmetry reductions upon encapsulation, the symmetry selection rules do not operate any more in the way they simplify the C₆₀ vibrational spectra [34]. Hence, the vibrational spectra of $\text{Li}_x@C_{60}$ must be considerably more complex than for the icosahedral (empty) C₆₀ cage with just four bands in its IR spectrum [34]. This increased spectral complexity has indeed been observed [13,14]. Incidentally, the observed harmonic frequency [29-31] for free Li₂ is 351 cm^{-1} while the computed B3LYP/3-21G term is 349 cm^{-1} (and the B3LYP/6-31G* value 342 cm^{-1}). For the endohedrals, larger-basis frequency calculations are not yet common.

There is a general stability problem related to fullerenes and metallofullerenes - either the absolute sta-

bility of the species or the relative stabilities of clusters with different stoichiometries. One can consider an overall stoichiometry of a metallofullerene formation:

$$xY(g) + C_n(g) = Y_x @C_n(g).$$
⁽¹⁾

Table 1. Computed encapsulation potential-energy changes $\Delta E_{Y_x@C_n}$ (kcal/mol) for $\text{Li}_x@C_{60}$ at the B3LYP/6-31G*//B3LYP/3-21G level.

Species	$\Delta E_{\mathbf{Y}_x @\mathbf{C}_n}$	$\Delta E_{\mathbf{Y}_x@\mathbf{C}_n}/x$
Li@C ₆₀	-28.4	-28.4
$Li_2@C_{60}$	-51.1	-25.6
$Li_3@C_{60}$	-71.0	-23.7

The encapsulation process is thermodynamically characterized by the standard changes of, for example, enthalpy $\Delta H_{Y_x@C_n}^{\circ}$ or the Gibbs energy $\Delta G_{Y_x@C_n}^{\circ}$. In a first approximation, we can just consider the encapsulation potential-energy changes $\Delta E_{Y_x@C_n}$. Table 1 presents their values for $\text{Li}_x@C_{60}$. The absolute values increase with the increasing number of the encapsulated Li atoms. In order to have some directly comparable relative terms, it is convenient to consider the reduced $\Delta E_{Y_x@C_n}/x$ terms related to one Li atom. The absolute values of the reduced term decrease with increasing Li content, nevertheless, the decrease is not particularly fast (so that, a further increase of the number of encapsulated Li atoms could still be possible). The computational findings help to rationalize why also the Li₂@C₆₀ endohedral could be observed [11]. Although the basis set superposition error is not estimated for the presented values (an application of the Boys-Bernardi counterpoise method may be somewhat questionable in this situation), the correction terms could be to some extent additive. Interestingly enough, the stabilization of metallofullerenes is mostly electrostatic as documented [35,36] using the topological concept of 'atoms in molecules' (AIM) [37,38] which shows that the metal-cage interactions form ionic (and not covalent) bonds.

Let us further analyze the encapsulation series from eq. 1. As already mentioned, the encapsulation process is thermodynamically characterized by the standard changes of enthalpy $\Delta H_{Y_x@C_n}^{\circ}$ or the Gibbs energy $\Delta G_{Y_x@C_n}^{\circ}$. The thermodynamic functions are calculated here using the standard partition functions available in the Gaussian program package [27], i.e., in the rigid rotor and harmonic oscillator approximation. The equilibrium composition of the reaction mixture is controlled by the encapsulation equilibrium constants $K_{Y_x@C_n,p}$

$$K_{\mathbf{Y}_x@\mathbf{C}_n,p} = \frac{p_{\mathbf{Y}_x@\mathbf{C}_n}}{p_{\mathbf{Y}}^x p_{\mathbf{C}_n}},\tag{2}$$

expressed in the terms of partial pressures of the components. The encapsulation equilibrium constants are interrelated with the the standard encapsulation Gibbs energy change:

$$\Delta G_{\mathbf{Y}_x@\mathbf{C}_n}^{\mathbf{o}} = -RT \log K_{\mathbf{Y}_x@\mathbf{C}_n,p}.$$
(3)

Temperature dependency of the encapsulation equilibrium constant $K_{Y_x@C_n,p}$ is then described by the van't Hoff equation:

$$\frac{d\log K_{\mathbf{Y}_x@\mathbf{C}_n,p}}{dT} = \frac{\Delta H^{\mathsf{o}}_{\mathbf{Y}_x@\mathbf{C}_n}}{RT^2} \tag{4}$$

where the $\Delta H_{Y_x@C_n}^{\circ}$ term is typically negative so that the encapsulation equilibrium constants decrease with increasing temperature.

Table 2. The products of the encapsulation equilibrium constants $K_{Y_x@C_n,p}$ with the related metal saturated-vapor pressures [39] $p_{Y,sat}$ for Li@C₆₀, Li₂@C₆₀, and Li₃@C₆₀ computed for selected illustrative temperatures T. The potential-energy change is evaluated at the B3LYP/6-31G^{*} level and the entropy part at the B3LYP/3-21G level; the standard state is ideal gas phase at 101325 Pa pressure.

T	$K_{Y_x@C_n,p}$	$p_{Y,sat}$	$p_{Y,sat}^x K_{Y_x@C_n,p}$	$\frac{p_{Y,sat}^x K_{Yx@Cn,p}}{p_{Y,sat} K_{Y@Cn,p}}$	
(K)	(atm^{-x})	(atm)			
Li@C ₆₀					
298.15	6.62×10^{17}	3.52×10^{-23}	2.33×10^{-5}	1.0	
1000	3.47×10^{2}	9.72×10^{-4}	0.337	1.0	
1500	3.05	0.467	1.42	1.0	
2000	0.305	10.1	3.08	1.0	
Li ₂ @C ₆₀					
298.15	2.62×10^{27}	3.52×10^{-23}	3.24×10^{-18}	1.39×10^{-13}	
1000	3.30	9.72×10^{-4}	3.11×10^{-6}	9.24×10^{-6}	
1500	7.47×10^{-4}	0.467	1.63×10^{-4}	1.15×10^{-4}	
2000	1.26×10^{-5}	10.1	1.29×10^{-3}	4.18×10^{-4}	
Li ₃ @C ₆₀					
298.15	2.42×10^{36}	3.52×10^{-23}	1.05×10^{-31}	4.52×10^{-27}	
1000	0.282	9.72×10^{-4}	2.58×10^{-10}	7.67×10^{-10}	
1500	2.72×10^{-6}	0.467	2.77×10^{-7}	1.95×10^{-7}	
2000	9.90×10^{-9}	10.1	1.02×10^{-5}	3.31×10^{-6}	

Let us further suppose that the metal pressure p_Y is actually close to the respective saturated pressure $p_{Y,sat}$. While the saturated pressures $p_{Y,sat}$ for various metals are known from observations [39], the partial pressure of C_n is less clear as it is obviously influenced by a larger set of processes (though, p_{C_n} should exhibit a temperature maximum and then vanish). Therefore, we avoid the latter pressure in our considerations at this stage. As already mentioned, the computed equilibrium constants $K_{Y_x@C_n,p}$ have to show a temperature decrease with respect to the van't Hoff equation (4). However, if we consider the combined $p_{Y,sat}^x K_{Y_x@C_n,p}$ terms

$$p_{\mathbf{Y}_x@\mathbf{C}_n} \sim p_{\mathbf{Y},sat}^x K_{\mathbf{Y}_x@\mathbf{C}_n,p},\tag{5}$$

that directly control the partial pressures of the $Y_x @C_n$ encapsulates in an encapsulation series (based on one common C_n fullerene), we get a different picture. The considered $p_{Y,sat}^x K_{X@C_n,p}$ term can frequently (though not necessarily) be increasing with temperature so that a temperature enhancement of metallofullerene formation in the electric-arc technique would be still possible. An optimal production temperature could be evaluated in a more complex model that also includes temperature development of the empty-fullerene partial pressure.

If we however want to evaluate production abundances in a series of metallofullerenes like Li@C₆₀, Li₂@C₆₀ and Li₃@C₆₀, just the $p_{Y,sat}^x K_{Y_x@C_n,p}$ product terms can straightforwardly be used. The rigidrotor and harmonic-oscillator partition functions and entropy terms are evaluated at the B3LYP/3-21G level, the potential-energy change at the B3LYP/6-31G* level. The results in Table 2 show several interesting features. For all three members of the series – Li@C₆₀, Li₂@C₆₀ and Li₃@C₆₀ – the $p_{Y,sat}^x K_{Y_x@C_n,p}$ quotient increases with temperature. This behavior results from a competition between the decreasing encapsulation equilibrium constants and increasing saturated metal pressure.

In order to allow for cancellation of various factors introduced by the computational approximations involved, it is better to deal with the relative quotient $\frac{p_{Y,sat}K_{YaCOn,p}}{p_{Y,sat}K_{YaCon,p}}$. Table 2 shows that the production yield of Li₂@C₆₀ in the high-temperature synthesis should be by at least four orders of magnitude smaller than that of Li@C₆₀. Chances for production of Li₃@C₆₀ should be still by at least two orders of magnitude worse compared to Li₂@C₆₀. Interestingly enough, an endohedral with a relatively lower value of the encapsulation equilibrium constant could, in principle, still be produced in larger yields if a convenient over-compensation by higher saturated metal pressure can take place owing to the exponent in the pressure in term (5). In fact, we are dealing with a special case of clustering under saturation conditions [40]. The saturation regime is a useful simplification – it is well defined, however, it is not necessarily always achieved. Under some experimental arrangements, under-saturated or perhaps super-saturated metal vapors are also possible. This reservation is applicable not only to the electric-arc treatment but even more likely with the low energy ion implantation [11,13,14]. Still, eqs. (2) and (5) remain valid, however, the metal pressure has to be described by the values actually relevant. For some volatile metals their critical temperature can even be overcome and the saturation region thus abandoned.

Although the energy terms are likely still not precise enough, their errors could be comparable in the series and thus, they should cancel out in the relative terms. Therefore, the suggested relative terms should be rather reliable values. This cancellation could also be the case of other terms involved like the basis set superposition error important for evaluation of the encapsulation potential-energy changes. Another term that should still be evaluated is the electronic partition function as low-lying electronic excited states can make significant contributions into thermodynamics at high temperatures [41]. Finally, a cancellation partition functions, including motions of the encapsulate. The motion of the endohedral atom is highly anharmonic, however, its description is yet possible only with simple potential functions. It has been known from computations and NMR observations [42] that the encapsulated atoms can exercise large amplitude motions, especially so at elevated temperatures (unless the motions)

are restricted by cage derivatizations [43]). Therefore, in the NMR observations metallofullerenes usually exhibit the highest (topologically) possible symmetry which reflects averaging effects of the large amplitude motions (for this reason, also the symmetry numbers of the Li endohedrals in this paper were taken [44] as 60). As long as we are interested in the relative production yields, the anharmonic effects should at least to some extent be cancelled out in the relative quotient as also demonstrated [19] in some model calculations. Thus, the calculated relative production yields suggested in this study should be reasonably applicable to a broader spectrum of endohedral systems [45].

4. Conclusions

Calculations of Li@C₆₀, Li₂@C₆₀ and Li₃@C₆₀ with the B3LYP density-functional theory treatment in the standard 3-21G and 6-31G* basis sets have been combined with evaluations of the encapsulation thermodynamics. The production yield of Li₂@C₆₀ in the high-temperature synthesis should be by at least four orders of magnitude smaller compared to Li@C₆₀ while that of Li₃@C₆₀ should be still by at least two orders of magnitude lower compared to Li₂@C₆₀. The suggested evaluation of the relative populations is actually applicable to endohedrals in general.

Acknowledgements

The reported research has been supported by a Grant-in-aid for NAREGI Nanoscience Project, for Scientific Research on Priority Area (A), and for the Next Generation Super Computing Project, Nanoscience Program, MEXT, Japan, by the National Science Council, Taiwan-ROC, and by the Czech National Research Program 'Information Society' (Czech Acad. Sci. 1ET401110505). Last but not least, the referee suggestions are highly appreciated, too.

References

- R. C. Haddon, Hebard, A.F.; Rosseinsky, M.J.; Murphy, D.W.; Duclos, S.J.; Lyons, K.B.; Miller, B.; Rosamilia, J.M.; Fleming, R.M.; Kortan, A.R.; Glarum, S.H.; Makhija, A.V.; Muller, A.J.; Eick, R.H.; Zahurak, S.M.; Tycko, R.; Dabbagh, G.; Thiel, F.A. Conducting Films of C₆₀ and C₇₀ by Alkali-Metal Doping. *Nature* **1991**, *350*, 320–322.
- 2. Dunlap, B.I.; Ballester, J.L.; Schmidt, P.P. Interactions between C₆₀ and Endohedral Alkali Atoms. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1992**, *96*, 9781–9787.
- Joslin, C.G.; Yang, J.; Gray, C.G.; Goldman, S.; Poll, J.D. Infrared Rotation and Vibration-Rotation Bands of Endohedral Fullerene Complexes – Absorption Spectrum of Li⁺@C₆₀ in the Range 1– 1000 cm⁻¹. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1993**, 208, 86–92.
- Kaplan, T.; Rasolt, M.; Karimi, M.; Mostoller, M. Numerical Simulation of He⁺ and Li⁺ Collisions with C₆₀. J. Phys. Chem. **1993**, 97, 6124–6126.
- Wan, Z.M.; Christian, J.F.; Basir, Y.; Anderson, S.L. Collision of Alkali Ions with C₆₀/C₇₀ Insertion, Thermionic Emission, and Fragmentation. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 99, 5858–5870.
- Joslin, C.G.; Gray, C.G.; Goldman, S.; Yang, J.; Poll, J.D. Raman Spectra of Endohedral Fullerenes Li⁺@C₆₀. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1993**, *215*, 144–150.

- Slanina, Z.; Adamowicz, L. MNDO Study of Charged Complexes of Dodecahedron-Shaped C₂₀ with Li. J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem) 1993, 281, 33–37.
- Varganov, S.A.; Avramov, P.V.; Ovchinnikov, S.G. Ab Initio Calculations of Endo- and Exohedral C₆₀ Fullerene Complexes with Li⁺ Ion and the Endohedral C₆₀ Fullerene Complex with Li₂ Dimer. *Phys. Solid Stat.* **2000**, *42*, 388–392.
- Slanina, Z.; Lee, S.-L. Quantum-Chemical Studies of Superconducting Fullerene Derivatives. *Chin. J. Phys.* 1996, *34*, 633–637.
- Bol, A.; Stott, M.J.; Alonso, J.A. Density Functional Pseudopotential Study of the Endohedral Complex Li₂@C₆₀. *Physica B* 1997, 240, 154–166.
- 11. Kusch, C.; Krawez, N.; Tellgmann, R.; Winter, B.; Campbell, E.E.B. Thermal Desorption Spectroscopy of Fullerene Films Containing Endohedral Li@C₆₀. *Appl. Phys. A* **1998**, *66*, 293–298.
- 12. Slanina, Z.; Uhlík, F.; Lee, S.-L.; Adamowicz, L. Quantum-Chemical Calculations of Model Systems of Interest in Fullerene-Based Superconductivity. *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **2003**, *131*, 1259–1263.
- Gromov, A.; Lassesson, A.; Jönsson, M.; Ostrovskii, D.I.; Campbell, E.E.B. IR Spectroscopy Investigation of Purified Endohedral Li@C₆₀ and Li@C₇₀. In *Fullerenes, Vol. 12: The Exciting World of Nanocages and Nanotubes, PV 2002-12*; Kamat, P.; Guldi, D.; Kadish, K., Eds. The Electrochemical Society: Pennington, 2002; pp. 621–629.
- Gromov, A.; Krawez, N.; Lassesson, A.; Ostrovskii, D.I.; Campbell, E.E.B. Optical Properties of Endohedral Li@C₆₀. *Curr. App. Phys.* 2002, 2, 51–55.
- Slanina, Z.; Uhlík, F.; Chow, T.J. Non-Central Location of Li in Li@C₆₀. In *Fullerenes, Vol. 13: Fullerenes and Nanotubes: The Building Blocks of Next Generation Nanodevices, PV 2003-15*; Guldi, D.M.; Kamat, P.V.; D'Souza, F., Eds. The Electrochemical Society: Pennington, 2003; pp. 569–574.
- 16. Campbell, E.E.B. Fullerene Collision Reactions; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, 2003.
- Popok, V.N.; Azarko, I.I.; Gromov, A.V.; Jonsson, M.; Lassesson, A.; Campbell, E.E.B. Conductance and EPR Study of the Endohedral Fullerene Li@C₆₀. *Sol. Stat. Commun.* 2005, *133*, 499–503.
- Lassesson, A.; Hansen, K.; Jonsson, M.; Gromov, A.; Campbell, E.E.B.; Boyle, M.; Pop, D.; Schulz, C.P.; Hertel, I.V.; Taninaka, A.; Shinohara, H. A Femtosecond Laser Study of the Endohedral Fullerenes Li@C₆₀ and La@C₈₂. *Eur. Phys. J. D* 2005, *34*, 205–209.
- Slanina, Z.; Uhlík, F.; Lee, S.-L.; Adamowicz, L.; Nagase, S. Computations of Endohedral Fullerenes: The Gibbs Energy Treatment. J. Comput. Meth. Sci. Engn. 2006, 6, 243–250.
- 20. Pavanello, M.; Jalbout, A.F.; Trzaskowski, B.; Adamowicz, L. Fullerene as an Electron Buffer: Charge Transfer in Li@C₆₀. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2007**, *442*, 339–343.
- Wan, T.S.M.; Zhang, H.W.; Nakane, T.; Xu, Z.D.; Inakuma, M.; Shinohara, H.; Kobayashi, K.; Nagase, S. Production, Isolation, and Electronic Properties of Missing Fullerenes: Ca@C₇₂ and Ca@C₇₄. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, 120, 6806–6807.
- Kodama, T.; Fujii, R.; Miyake, Y.; Suzuki, S.; Nishikawa, H.; Ikemoto, I.; Kikuchi, K.; Achiba, Y.
 ¹³C NMR Study of Ca@C₇₄: The Cage Structure and the Site-Hopping Motion of a Ca Atom Inside the Cage. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2004, *399*, 94–97.

- Haufe, O.; Hecht, M.; Grupp, A.; Mehring, M.; Jansen, M. Isolation and Spectroscopic Characterization of New Endohedral Fullerenes in the Size Gap of C₇₄ to C₇₆. *Z. Anorg. Allgem. Chem.* 2005, 631, 126–130.
- 24. Reich, A.; Panthofer, M.; Modrow, H.; Wedig, U.; Jansen, M. The Structure of Ba@C₇₄. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 14428–14434.
- 25. Becke, A.D. Density-Functional Thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648–5652.
- 26. Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R.G. Development of the Colle-Salvetti Correlation-Energy Formula into a Functional of the Electron Density. *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785–789.
- Frisch, M.J., Trucks, G.W., Schlegel, H.B., Scuseria, G.E., Robb, M.A., Cheeseman, J.R., Montgomery Jr., J.A., Vreven, T., Kudin, K.N., Burant, J.C., Millam, J.M., Iyengar, S.S., Tomasi, J., Barone, V., Mennucci, B., Cossi, M., Scalmani, G., Rega, N., Petersson, G.A., Nakatsuji, H., Hada, M., Ehara, M., Toyota, K., Fukuda, R., Hasegawa, J., Ishida, M., Nakajima, T., Honda, Y., Kitao, O., Nakai, H., Klene, M., Li, X., Knox, J. E., Hratchian, H.P., Cross, J.B., Adamo, C., Jaramillo, J., Gomperts, R., Stratmann, R.E., Yazyev, O., Austin, A.J., Cammi, R., Pomelli, C., Ochterski, J.W., Ayala, P.Y., Morokuma, K., Voth, G. A., Salvador, P., Dannenberg, J.J., Zakrzewski, V.G., Dapprich, S., Daniels, A.D., Strain, M.C., Farkas, O., Malick, D.K., Rabuck, A.D., Raghavachari, K., Foresman, J.B., Ortiz, J.V., Cui, Q., Baboul, A.G., Clifford, S., Cioslowski, J., Stefanov, B.B., Liu, G., Liashenko, A., Piskorz, P., Komaromi, I., Martin, R.L., Fox, D.J., Keith, T., Al-Laham, M.A., Peng, C.Y., Nanayakkara, A., Challacombe, M., Gill, P.M.W., Johnson, B., Chen, W., Wong, M.W., Gonzalez, C., Pople, J.A. *Gaussian 03, Revision C.01*; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2004.
- 28. Hehre, W.J.; Radom, L.; Schleyer, P.v.R.; Pople, J.A. *Ab Initio Molecular Orbital Theory*; J. Wiley Inc.: New York, 1986.
- 29. Logan, R.A.; Cote, R.E.; Kusch, P. The Sign of the Quadrupole Interaction Energy in Diatomic Molecules. *Phys. Rev.* **1952**, *86*, 280–287.
- Brooks, R.A.; Anderson, C.H.; Ramsey, N.F. Rotational Magnetic Moments of Diatomic Alkalis. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1963**, *10*, 441–443.
- 31. Huber, K.P.; Herzberg, G. *Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure, IV. Constants of Diatomic Molecules*; Van Nostrand Reinhold Company: New York, 1979.
- 32. Blanc, J.; Broyer, M.; Chevaleyre, J.; Dugourd, P.; Kuhling, H.; Labastie, P.; Ulbricht, M.; Wolf, J.P.; Wöste, L. High Resolution Spectroscopy of Small Metal Clusters. *Z. Phys. D* **1991**, *19*, 7–12.
- 33. Kawai, R.; Tombrello, J.F.; Weare, J.H. Li₅ as a Pseudorotating Planar Cluster. *Phys. Rev. A* **1994**, *49*, 4236–4239.
- Slanina, Z.; Rudziński, J.M.; Togasi, M.; Ōsawa, E. Quantum-Chemically Supported Vibrational Analysis of Giant Molecules: The C₆₀ and C₇₀ Clusters. *J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem)* 1989, 202, 169–176.
- 35. Nagase, S.; Kobayashi, K.; Akasaka, T. Recent Progress in Endohedral Dimetallofullerenes. *J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem)* **1997**, *398/399*, 221–227.
- 36. Kobayashi, K.; Nagase, S. Bonding Features in Endohedral Metallofullerenes. Topological Analysis of the Electron Density Distribution. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1999**, *302*, 312–316.

- 37. Bader, R.F.W. A Quantum Theory of Molecular Structure and its Applications. *Chem. Rev.* **1991**, *91*, 893–928.
- 38. Bader, R.F.W. A Bond Path: A Universal Indicator of Bonded Interactions. J. Phys. Chem. A 1998, 102, 7314–7323.
- 39. Alcock, C.B.; Itkin, V.P.; Horrigan, M.K. Vapor Pressure Equations for the Metallic Elements: 298 2500 K. *Can. Metallurg. Quart.* **1984**, *23*, 309–313.
- 40. Slanina, Z. Temperature Development of Homo- and Hetero-Clustering in Saturated Vapors. J. Cluster Sci. 2004, 15, 3–11.
- 41. Slanina, Z.; Uhlík, F.; Lee, S.-L.; Adamowicz, L.; Nagase, S. Enhancement of Fullerene Stabilities from Excited Electronic States. *Comput. Lett.* **2005**, *1*, 304–312.
- Kobayashi, K.; Nagase, S. Structures and Electronic Properties of Endohedral Metallofullerenes; Theory and experiment. In *Endofullerenes – A New Family of Carbon Clusters*; Akasaka, T.; Nagase, S., Eds.; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, 2002; pp 99–119.
- 43. Kobayashi, K.; Nagase, S.; Maeda, Y.; Wakahara, T.; Akasaka, T. La₂@C₈₀: Is the Circular Motion of Two La Atoms Controllable by Exohedral Addition? *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2003**, *374*, 562–566.
- 44. Slanina, Z.; Lee, S.-L.; Uhlík, F.; Adamowicz, L.; Nagase, S. Computing Relative Stabilities of Metallofullerenes by Gibbs Energy Treatments. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2007**, *117*, 315–322.
- 45. Gurin, V.S. Ab Initio Calculation of Endohedral Fullerenes with Copper and Silver Clusters. *Fulleren*. *Nanotub. Carb. Nanostruct.* **2005**, *13*, Suppl. 1, 3–11.

© 2008 by the authors; licensee Molecular Diversity Preservation International, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).